Class and Section: MIS4173-601 Date: 03/08/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 2**

Team 12:

Myles Gardner, Jalen McMillan, David Nagy

SR&R 2 Documentation Grade: **84** SR&R 2 Presentation Grade: **97**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 2 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. I know you will improve. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (03/08/2022) |

#### SR&R 2 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 1 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 2 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **15** | 12 |
| Preliminary Non-functional Requirements | **20** | 18 |
| New Documentation | **20** | 20 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **7** | 6 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 2 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 3 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 2 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 84 |

#### SR&R 2 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Preliminary Supplement Specifications  (Non-functional Requirements) | **15** | 15 |
| Project Updates (please include UCD & CD) | **15** | 12 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Backlog | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Burn Down Chart | **10** | 10 |
| Sprint 2 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **20** | 20 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 97 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document report you included looks professional, but see the following issues:
  + The title page should not have Sprint 2 Documentation on it—it should just be LMB Electric
  + Ask me if you have any questions
* Your non-functional requirements were good, but did not match the presentation slide—where are the Cultural and Political requirements
* See comments about UCD and CD below
* Sprint items
  + See comments about backlogs below
  + SCRUM meeting log is good, but you should only be recording when the whole team meets and does a standup together
  + Burndown chart is good, but you claim all of you worked 44.25 hours while you only burned down 22.25 hours . . .
  + Sprint 2 team evaluation should include (this is on page 3 of the Project Notes—ask me if you do not know where they are):
    - Progress and accomplishments for the sprint
    - Problems encountered with the sprint
    - An analysis of progress against schedule (e.g., due dates, sprints)
    - Activities for the coming sprint, including any deliverables
    - Any other items that would be of interest to management (professor)
    - Total number of hours worked on the sprint
* The Project plan is a Gantt chart of the six sprints and their tasks—ask if you need help
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* You did a good job on the content you provided
* The non-functional requirements are good
* For the UCD:
  + You need to go look at MIS4173 DE SPRING2022 PRESENTATION 05.pdf lecture presentation and starting at slide 41 it will cover both the UCD and the CD
  + You want your UCD to look like the one on slide 45 or 46
  + You do not need the cases on the right side, just the four cases on the left
  + You can remove the vertical rectangle that surrounds the use cases . . . it is not needed
* The CD is very good
* For both the UCD and CD, is email involved? If yes, you need a secondary actor in the UCD called Email and an Entity called Email on the CD with a dataflow going to it from Process 0
* All sprint items were good except for:
  + The product backlog is not showing any user requirements for the system—please start showing lines 30 on from now on . . . I do not need the documentation items
  + The Sprint 2 backlog has items that are not requirements—only documentation items with the same name that is on the product backlog should be listed along with any user requirements
* How do you have a PSPI without user requirements for the system listed on your backlogs?
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.7/4 = 67.5%. You can see I gave you a 97%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.